

## ADDENDUM NO. 3

STATE OF MAINE GARAGE RESTORATION 2014



PAGE 1

DATE : August 20, 2014  
SENT BY: Andy Homer  
WALKER PROJECT # 16-2559.00  
STATE PROJECT # PT#1803  
CONTACT: MaryBeth VanKeuren, P.E. Project Manager

The attention to bidders submitting proposals for the above project is called to the following addendum to the specifications and drawings. The items set forth herein, whether of omission, addition, substitution or clarifications are all to be included in and form part of the proposal submitted. This addendum shall take precedence or provide clarification.

The number of this addendum must be entered in the appropriate space on page one of the bid forms entitled section 004113 Contractor Bid Form page 1.

### BIDDING DOCUMENTS

#### GENERAL

The construction documents and amendments for this project are available electronically in PDF format to Bidders from the website link *from the BGS website:*  
[Http://www.maine.gov/bgs/constrpublic/contractors/gc\\_rfp.htm](http://www.maine.gov/bgs/constrpublic/contractors/gc_rfp.htm) provided to each bidders e-mail address.

#### ADDENDUM:

1. Will a schedule extension be considered as long as all work is completed in 2014?
  - a. **No.**
2. During the site walkthrough the Engineer discussed the level of surface preparation and expectations for preparing of the decks. This appears to vary from what the specifications call out. Please advise what will be required for surface preparation.
  - a. **Per Work Item Specification 020010 the existing coating shall be solvent-washed.**  
**Per Traffic Coatings Specification 071800 the surface shall be shotblasted.**  
**Walker is adding the requirement of power washing to remove surface contaminants.**
3. Is the unit price form required to be provided with the bids?
  - a. **No.**
4. Please advise if the quantities shown in the unit price form and as shown in table on drawing R-001 are what should be included as the basis for the bid? Should the grand

## ADDENDUM NO. 3

STATE OF MAINE GARAGE RESTORATION 2014



PAGE 2

total of the unit price form included in Addendum #1 match the bid amount stated on contractor bid form from section 00 41 13.

a. **Yes, in total value.**

5. Please confirm the mechanical allowance that should be carried by all bidders is the \$5,000 as shown in the unit price form of Addendum #1.

a. **Yes.**

6. Please confirm that UV screening is required on all traffic coatings.

a. **Yes.**

7. There is no detail shown for 11.5 Epoxy Injection. It is unclear what is required at the epoxy injection locations and the extent of repair required. Please provide direction on how these repairs should be quantified and priced.

a. **Work Item 11.5 is based on a lineal footage from injection port to injection port of the approved crack lines.**

8. Detail 11.1 calls for cracks to be routed and sealed in specific areas. There is no quantity provided and no way to quantify the amount of cracks from the drawings. What quantity or value should be carried for these repairs?

a. **This Work Item is incidental to Work Item series 16.0. Contractor to estimate the amount of cracks.**

9. Is removal and reinstallation of caulking of all existing caulking joints between panels located on the concrete decks required?

a. **If this question is referring to the overlay section on the roof, then yes, ALL existing caulking in this area shall be removed and replaced.**

10. Work item 11.3 is shown on drawing R-103 but is not included in the detail pages. Please elaborate on extent of the vertical caulking required.

a. **Work Item 11.3 shall be removed. Where Work Item 11.3 shown on drawing R-103, Work Item 10.1 shall be used which is to have the impregnated foam seal (Emseal DSM System) run vertically up the column face. The DSM System shall terminate vertically to the horizontal elastomeric seal from Detail 10.2.**

11. Is the bidder or the State responsible for hiring the testing agency to perform concrete tests as specified?

a. **The State is responsible for testing.**

## ADDENDUM NO. 3

### STATE OF MAINE GARAGE RESTORATION 2014

---



PAGE 3

12. Has hazardous materials testing been performed, if so please provide report.
- a. **It is unknown at this time.**
13. If hazardous materials are encountered during construction, how will these be handled?
- a. **To be determined.**
14. Will the contractor be required to perform touch up painting of any loose paint that flakes off the interior CMU caused by the interior cleaning that is called out within the alternate?
- a. **Cleaning is to be applied to stairs and floors only.**
15. 071900-2.2 calls out three different types of concrete sealers based on VOC content. Are all of these products acceptable for use?
- a. **Yes.**
16. 025150-3.4.A does not give a percentage of cross section where embedment would be considered defective. Will this be determined by Engineer after removal, would this be considered additional work to the contractor?
- a. **All embedded reinforcement exposed during surface preparation that has lost more than 10% (20% if 2 or more consecutive parallel bars and/or tendons are affected) of original cross-section due to corrosion shall be considered DEFECTIVE.**
17. Should contractor expect failure of any tendons during concrete removal due to the conditions the exposed tendons are currently in?
- a. **No.**